

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of)
Lee Codel Lawson Tarbotton et al.) Examiner: Pyzocha, Michael J.
Application No. 10/028,651) Art Unit: 2137
Filed: 12/20/2001) Atty. Docket No.
For: SYSTEM, METHOD AND COMPUTER) NAIIP055/01.228.01
PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR)
PRECLUDING WRITES TO CRITICAL)
FILES)

Issue Fee Payment Transmittal

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following items:

- 1) Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- 2) Part B- Issue Fee Transmittal

Respectfully submitted,
Zilka-Kotab, PC

/KEVINZILKA/

Kevin J. Zilka
Reg. No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120
(408) 971-2573

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of)
Lee Codel Lawson Tarbotton et al.) Examiner: Pyzocha, Michael J.
Application No. 10/028,651)) Art Unit: 2137
Filed: 12/20/2001)) Atty. Docket No.
For: SYSTEM, METHOD AND COMPUTER)) NAIIP055/01.228.01
PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR)
PRECLUDING WRITES TO CRITICAL)
FILES) Date: 01/04/2008

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner:

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed 11/09/2007, please enter the following:

REMARKS

The Examiner has stated in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance the following: “[t]he prior art does not reasonably teach monitoring requests of an application that is not one of a plurality of trusted applications, alerting the user to either permit or prevent the request by the application.”

In response, applicant points out that at least some of the independent claims (e.g. Claims 1, 28 and/or 31) are not necessarily limited to at least some of the emphasized features that the Examiner has highlighted above. Just by way of example, at least some of applicant's independent claims are not necessarily limited to “monitoring requests of an application that is not one of a plurality of trusted applications” as the Examiner notes. In addition, at least some of applicant's independent claims do not specifically state “alerting the user to either permit or prevent the request by the application” (emphasis added), as the Examiner further notes.

Clearly, at least some of the independent claims are not necessarily limited to the features that the Examiner has noted above in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, as emphasized above (by way of example only). Instead, each of the claims should only be limited by the language existing therein.

In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (408) 505-5100. For payment of

the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NAIIP055).

Respectfully submitted,
Zilka-Kotab, PC

/KEVINZILKA/

Kevin J. Zilka
Registration No. 41,429

P.O. Box 721120
San Jose, CA 95172-1120
Telephone: (408) 505-5100